



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/052,798 | 11/02/2001 | Camellia W. Adams | P1101R2D1 | 4012 | |
| 9157 759 | 90 10/05/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| GENENTECH, INC. | | | O HARA, EILEEN B | | |
| 1 DNA WAY | RANCISCO, CA 94080 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 5001116/1111 | idiiveibee, en 71000 | | 1646 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Advisory Action | 10/052,798 | ADAMS ET AL. | | | | | |
| | Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Eileen O'Hara | 1646 | | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| THE | HE REPLY FILED 16 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| | The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | |
| b) | a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | | | |
| | The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>16 September 2005</u> . A months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been 37 CFR 41.37(a). | 41.37(a)), or any extension thereo | f (37 CFR 41.37(e)), | to avoid | | | | |
| | NDMENTS | | | | | | | |
| 3. 🗠 | The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | nsideration and/or search (see NOw); tter form for appeal by materially recorresponding number of finally re | TE below); educing or simplifying | | | | | |
| á. <u> </u> | NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. 🗀 | Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s |): | | | | | | |
| o. ∟ | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | |
| 7. 🔼 | For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 76-78 and 90-92. | | • | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) rejected: <u>59-62,65-75,79-89 and 93-97</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| | <u>DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu | it before or on the date of filing a N | Jotics of Appeal will = | et be entered | | | | |
| | because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence i | s necessary | | | | |
| 10. [| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a∙ 1). | | | | |
| | <u>UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | | | | |
| | | Cileen | BOXIALL EILEEN B. O'HAF | | | | | |
| | | | EILEEN B. O'HAF PATENT EXAMIN | ka ER | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New claims.

The proposed amendments will not be entered because they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. New claims 98-106 and 141-146 are broader in scope and would require further search and consideration. New claims 106, 115, 124, 132 and 140 encompass treatment of cancer comprising exposing mammalian cancer cells expressing Apo-2 receptor with Apo-2 agonist antibody, wherein the cancer cells are glioma cells, and treatment of glioma cells would require further consideration for enablement.

Obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Claims 59-62, 65-75, 79-89 and 93-97 remain provisionally rejected over obviousness-type double patenting. Applicant in the response states the intention of canceling claims 1to 5 and 10 to 47 of the '710 application, rendering the rejection moot. However until such time the claims are canceled, the rejection remains. The rejection of claims 59-62, 65-75, 79-89 and 93-97 over claim 14 of the '295 application is withdrawn as that application is abandoned.